arXiv:1606.00801v1 [math.CA] 2 Jun 2016

Problems with classic homeomorphisms and three-point boundary conditions

Dionicio Pastor Dallos Santos *

Department of Mathematics, IME-USP, Cidade Universitária,
CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Abstract

Using Leray-Schauder degree theory we study the existence of at least one solution for the boundary value problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = f(t,u,u') \\ u'(0) = u(0),\ u'(T) = bu'(0), \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $f : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and T a positive real number and b some non zero real number.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 34B15; 47H11.
Key words: Boundary value problem, Leray-Schauder degree, Brouwer degree.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to obtain some existence results for the nonlinear boundary value problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = f(t,u,u') \\ u'(0) = u(0),\ u'(T) = bu'(0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and T a positive real number and b some non zero real number. We call *solution* of this problem any function $u : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ of class C^1 such that $\varphi(u')$ is continuously differentiable, satisfying the boundary conditions and $(\varphi(u'(t)))' = f(t,u(t),u'(t))$ for all $t \in [0,T]$.

*Email: dionicio@ime.usp.br

Recently, V. Bouches and J. Mawhin in [7] have studied the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u))' = f(t,u) \\ u(T) = bu(0), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to (-a, a)$ is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, a and T being positive real numbers and b some non zero real number. The authors obtained the existence of solutions using topological methods based upon Leray-Schauder degree [11].

Inspired by these results, the main aim of this paper is to study the existence of at least one solution for the boundary value problem (1.1) using Schauder fixed point theorem or Leray-Schauder degree. For this, we reduce the nonlinear boundary value problem to some fixed points problem. The first consequence of this reduction, is that this operator is defined in C^1. Second, it is completely continuous. Next, adapts a technique introduced by Ward [12] for the search of a priori bounds for the possible fixed points required by a Leray-Schauder approach. Such a problem does not seem to have been studied in the literature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the notation and terminology used throughout the work. Section 3, we formulate the fixed point operator equivalent to the problem (1.1). Section 4, we give main results in this paper. For these results, we adapt the ideas of [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] to the present situation.

2 Notation and terminology

We first introduce some notation. For fixed T, we denote the usual norm in $L^1 = L^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ for $\|\cdot\|_{L^1}$. For $C = C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ we indicate the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0,T]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ witch the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and for $C^1 = C^1([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ we designate the Banach space of continuously differentiable functions from $[0,T]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the usual norm $\|u\|_1 = \|u\|_\infty + \|u'\|_\infty$.

We introduce the following applications:

the *Nemytskii operator* $N_f : C^1 \to C$,

$$N_f(u)(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)),$$

the *integration operator* $H : C \to C^1$,

$$H(u)(t) = \int_0^t u(s)ds,$$

the following continuous linear applications:

$$Q : C \to C, \quad Q(u)(t) = \tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T u(s)ds,$$

$$P : C \to C, \quad P(u)(t) = u(0),$$

and finally, we introduced the continuous application

$$B_{\varphi,b} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad B_{\varphi,b}(x) = \varphi(bx) - \varphi(x).$$

For $u \in C$, we write

$$u_m = \min_{[0,T]} u, \ u_M = \max_{[0,T]} u, \ u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}, \ u^- = \max\{-u, 0\}.$$

3 Fixed point formulations

Let consider the operator

$$\begin{array}{c} M_1 : C^1 \to C^1, \\ u \mapsto \\ Q(N_f(u)) - \frac{B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T} + H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi(Pu) + H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \frac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T}\right]\right) + P(u) \end{array}$$

where Ψ denotes the function which sends t on t and φ^{-1} is understood as the operator $\varphi^{-1} : C \to C$ defined for $\varphi^{-1}(v)(t) = \varphi^{-1}(v(t))$. It is clear that φ^{-1} is continuous and sends bounded sets into bounded sets.

Using the theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli we show that the operator M_1 is completely continuous.

Lemma 3.1. *The operator $M_1 : C^1 \to C^1$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda \subset C^1$ be a bounded set. Then, if $u \in \Lambda$, there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \rho. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we show that $\overline{M_1(\Lambda)} \subset C^1$ is a compact set. Let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in $M_1(\Lambda)$, and let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence in Λ such that $v_n = M_1(u_n)$. Using (3.3), we have that there exists a constant $L_1 > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|N_f(u_n)\|_\infty \leq L_1,$$

which implies that

$$\|H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))\|_\infty \leq 2L_1T.$$

Hence the sequence $\left(H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))\right)_n$ is bounded in C. Moreover, for $t, t_1 \in [0,T]$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))(t) - H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))(t_1)| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t} N_f(u_n)(s)ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t} Q(N_f(u_n))(s)ds \right| \\
&\leq L_1 |t - t_1| + |t - t_1| \left\| Q(N_f(u_n)) \right\|_\infty \\
&\leq 2L_1 |t - t_1| ,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\left(H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))\right)_n$ is equicontinuous. Thus, by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem there is a subsequence of $\left(H(N_f(u_n) - Q(N_f(u_n)))\right)_n$, which we call $\left(H(N_f(u_{n_j}) - Q(N_f(u_{n_j})))\right)_j$, which is convergent in C. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we obtain that the sequence

$$\left(H(N_f(u_{n_j}) - Q(N_f(u_{n_j}))) + \frac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu_{n_j})}{T} + \varphi(P(u_{n_j})) \right)_j$$

is convergent in C. Using the fact that $\varphi^{-1} : C \to C$ is continuous it follows from

$$M_1(u_{n_j})' = \varphi^{-1} \left[\left(H(N_f(u_{n_j}) - Q(N_f(u_{n_j}))) + \frac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu_{n_j})}{T} + \varphi(P(u_{n_j})) \right) \right]$$

that the sequence $(M_1(u_{n_j})')_j$ is convergent in C. Therefore, passing if necessary to a subsequence, we have that $(v_{n_j})_j = (M_1(u_{n_j}))_j$ is convergent in C^1. Finally, let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\overline{M_1(\Lambda)}$. Let $(z_n)_n \subseteq M_1(\Lambda)$ be such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|z_n - v_n\|_1 = 0.$$

Let $(z_{n_j})_j$ be a subsequence of $(z_n)_n$ such that converge to z. It follows that $z \in \overline{M_1(\Lambda)}$ and $(v_{n_j})_j$ converge to z. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2. *$u \in C^1$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if u is a fixed point of the operator M_1.*

Proof. Let $u \in C^1$, we have the following equivalences:

$$(\varphi(u'))' = N_f(u),\ u'(T) = bu'(0),\ u'(0) = u(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\varphi(u'))' = N_f(u) - \left(Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} \right),$$
$$Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} = 0,\ u'(0) = u(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \varphi(u') = H\left(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))\right) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} + \varphi(u'(0)),$$

$$Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} = 0,\ u'(0) = u(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u' = \varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} + \varphi(u'(0))\right],$$
$$Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} = 0,\ u'(0) = u(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u = H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} + \varphi(u'(0))\right]\right) + u(0),$$
$$Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T} = 0,\ u'(0) = u(0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u = H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(u(0))}{T} + \varphi(u(0))\right]\right) + u(0),$$
$$Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(u(0))}{T} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u = Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T} + H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T} + \varphi(Pu)\right]\right) + Pu.$$ □

Remark 3.3. Note that $u'(T) = bu'(0) \Leftrightarrow Q(N_f(u)) = \frac{B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0))}{T}$.

In order to apply Leray-Schauder degree to the operator M_1, we introduced a family of problems depending on a parameter λ. We remember that to each continuous function $f : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ we associate its Nemytskii operator $N_f : C^1 \to C$ defined by

$$N_f(u)(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)).$$

For $\lambda \in [0,1]$, we consider the family of boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = \lambda N_f(u) + (1-\lambda)Q(N_f(u)) \\ u'(0) = u(0),\ u'(T) = bu'(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that (3.4) coincide with (1.1) for $\lambda = 1$. So, for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the operator associated to 3.4 by Lemma 3.2 is the operator $M(\lambda, \cdot)$, where M is defined on $[0,1] \times C^1$ by

$$M(\lambda, u) = Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T} +$$
$$H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi(Pu) + \lambda H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T}\right]\right) + P(u).$$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we show that the operator M is completely continuous. Moreover, using the same reasoning as above, the system (3.4) (see Lemma 3.2) is equivalent to the problem

$$u = M(\lambda, u). \tag{3.5}$$

In order to prove the existence at least one solution of (1.1) we consider the family of problems

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = \lambda Q(N_f(u)) \\ \int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt = \varphi(bu(0)) - \varphi(u(0)),\ u'(0) = u(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, we consider the homotopy

$$Z(\lambda,u) = P(u)+Q(N_f(u))-\frac{B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T}+H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\lambda\frac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T}+\varphi(Pu)\right]\right), \tag{3.7}$$

where $Z(1,\cdot) = M(0,\cdot)$. By the same argument as above, the operator $Z : [0,1] \times C^1 \to C^1$ (see Lemma 3.1) is completely continuous.

Lemma 3.4. *If $(\lambda,u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ is such that $u = Z(\lambda,u)$, then u is solution of (3.6).*

Proof. Let $(\lambda,u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ be such that $u = Z(\lambda,u)$. It follows that

$$\int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt = \varphi(bu(0)) - \varphi(u(0))$$

and

$$u'(t) = \varphi^{-1}\left[t\lambda\frac{\varphi(bu(0)) - \varphi(u(0))}{T} + \varphi(u(0))\right] \tag{3.8}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. Applying φ to both members and differentiating, we deduce that

$$(\varphi(u'(t)))' = \lambda\tfrac{\varphi(bu(0))-\varphi(u(0))}{T} = \lambda Q(N_f(u))$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$.

On the other hand, using (3.8) for $t = 0$, we obtain $u'(0) = u(0)$. This completes the proof. □

4 Main results

In this section, we present and prove our main results. These results are inspired on works by Bereanu and Mawhin [5] and Manásevich and Mawhin [9]. We denote by deg_B the Brouwer degree and for deg_{LS} the Leray-Schauder degree, and define the mapping $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$G : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{B_{\varphi,b}(x)}{T} - \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(t,x+yt,y)dt, -x+y\right). \tag{4.9}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that Ω is an open bounded set in C^1 such that the following conditions hold.*

1. *If* $(\lambda, u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ *is such that* $u = Z(\lambda, u)$*, then* $u \notin \partial\Omega$*.*

2. *The Brouwer degree*

$$deg_B(G, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0) \neq 0,$$

where we consider the natural identification $(x, y) \approx x + yt$ *of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *with related functions in* C^1*.*

3. *For each* $\lambda \in (0,1]$ *the problem (3.4) has no solution on* $\partial\Omega$*.*

Then (1.1) has a solution.

Proof. Using hypothesis 1 and that Z is completely continuous, we deduce that for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the Leray-Schauder degree $deg_{LS}(I - Z(\lambda, \cdot), \Omega, 0)$ is well-defined, and by the homotopy invariance imply that

$$deg_{LS}(I - Z(1, \cdot), \Omega, 0) = deg_{LS}(I - Z(0, \cdot), \Omega, 0).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$deg_{LS}(I - Z(0, \cdot), \Omega, 0) = deg_{LS}(I - (P + QN_f - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}P}{T} + HP), \Omega, 0).$$

But the range of the mapping

$$u \longrightarrow P(u) + Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(P(u))}{T} + H(P(u))$$

is contained in the subspace of related functions, isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, using a reduction property of Leray-Schauder degree [8, 11]

$$\begin{aligned} &deg_{LS}(I - (P + QN_f - \frac{B_{\varphi,b}P}{T} + HP), \Omega, 0) \\ &= deg_B\left(I - (P + QN_f - \frac{B_{\varphi,b}P}{T} + HP)\,|_{\overline{\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^2}}, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0\right) \\ &= deg_B(G, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that M is completely continuous, that $Z(1, \cdot)$ coincides with the operator $M(0, \cdot)$ and the hypothesis 3, we deduce that for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, $deg_{LS}(I - M(\lambda, \cdot), \Omega, 0)$ is well-defined, and by the homotopy invariance we have

$$deg_{LS}(I - M(1, \cdot), \Omega, 0) = deg_{LS}(I - M(0, \cdot), \Omega, 0).$$

Hence, $deg_{LS}(I - M(1, \cdot), \Omega, 0) \neq 0$. This, in turn, implies that there exists $u \in \Omega$ such that $M_1(u) = u$, which is a solution for (1.1). □

The problem (1.1) can be studied by placing some special conditions on $f(t,x,y)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that the following conditions hold for a opportune $\rho > 0$.*

1. *There exists a function $h \in C$ such that*

$$|f(t,x,y)| \leq h(t) \ \textit{for all} \ (t,x,y) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

2. *There exists $M_1 < M_2$ such that for all $u \in C^1$,*

$$\int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt - B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0)) \neq 0 \quad se \quad u'_m \geq M_2,$$

$$\int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt - B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0)) \neq 0 \quad se \quad u'_M \leq M_1.$$

3. *The Brouwer degree*

$$deg_B(G, B_\rho(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0) \neq 0.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let $(\lambda, u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ be such that u is a solution of (3.4). Using (3.5), we have that

$$u = M(\lambda,u) = Q(N_f(u)) - \tfrac{B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T} + H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi(Pu) + \lambda H(N_f(u) - Q(N_f(u))) + \tfrac{\Psi B_{\varphi,b}(Pu)}{T}\right]\right) + P(u).$$

By evaluation of u at 0, we obtain

$$\int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt - B_{\varphi,b}(u(0)) = 0.$$

Differentiating u and using the fact that $u'(0) = u(0)$, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T f(t,u(t),u'(t))dt - B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0)) = 0.$$

Now by hypothesis 2 it follows that

$$u'_m < M_2 \ \text{ and } \ u'_M > M_1.$$

Then, there exists $\omega \in [0,T]$ such that $M_1 < u'(\omega) < M_2$. Moreover,

$$\int_\omega^t (\varphi(u'(s)))'ds = \lambda \int_\omega^t N_f(u)(s)ds + (1-\lambda)\int_\omega^t Q(N_f(u))(s)ds$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. By hypothesis 1 it follows that

$$|\varphi(u'(t))| \leq |\varphi(u'(\omega))| + 2\|h\|_{L^1} < L + 2\|h\|_{L^1},$$

where $L = \max\{|\varphi(M_2)|, |\varphi(M_1)|\}$. Hence,

$$\|u'\|_\infty < a,$$

where $a = \max\{|\varphi^{-1}(L + 2\|h\|_{L^1})|, |\varphi^{-1}(-L - 2\|h\|_{L^1})|\}$. Using the fact that $u'(0) = u(0)$, we obtain

$$|u(t)| \leq |u(0)| + \int_0^T |u'(s)|\, dt < a + aT \quad (t \in [0, T]),$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_1 = \|u\|_\infty + \|u'\|_\infty < a + aT + a = a(2 + T) = R_1.$$

Let $(\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times C^1$ be such that $u = Z(\lambda, u)$. Using Lemma 3.4, u is a solution of (3.6), which implies that

$$\int_0^T f(t, u(t), u'(t))dt - B_{\varphi,b}(u'(0)) = 0.$$

Using hypothesis 2 it follows that there exists $\tau \in [0, T]$ such that $M_1 < u'(\tau) < M_2$. Moreover,

$$|\varphi(u'(t))| \leq |\varphi(u'(\tau))| + \left|\lambda \int_\tau^t Q(N_f(u))(s)ds\right|$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Now by hypothesis 1 it follows that

$$|\varphi(u'(t))| < L + \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence,

$$\|u'\|_\infty < b,$$

where $b = \max\{|\varphi^{-1}(L + \|h\|_{L^1})|, |\varphi^{-1}(-L - \|h\|_{L^1})|\}$. Now for $t \in [0, T]$

$$|u(t)| \leq |u(0)| + \int_0^T |u'(s)|\, dt < b + bT,$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_1 = \|u\|_\infty + \|u'\|_\infty < b + bT + b = b(2 + T) = R_2.$$

Defining $\Omega = B\rho(0)$ in Theorem 4.1, where $B\rho(0)$ is the open ball in C^1 center 0 and radius $\rho \geq \max\{R_1, R_2\}$, we can guarantee the existence of at least a solution of (1.1). □

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we obtain the following existence result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let φ be an odd homeomorphism. Assume that the following conditions hold.*

1. *There exists a function $h \in C$ such that*

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq h(t) \text{ for all } (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

2. The Brouwer degree

$$deg_B(G, B_\rho(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0) \neq 0, \ for\ a\ opportune\ \rho > 0.$$

Then problem (1.1) with $b = -1$ has at least one solution.

In the next lemma, we adapt the ideas of Ward [12] to obtain the required a priori bounds.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that f satisfies the following conditions.*

1. There exists $c \in C$ such that

$$f(t, x, y) \geq c(t)$$

for all $(t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

2. There exists $M_1 < M_2$ such that for all $u \in C^1$,

$$\int_0^T f(t, u(t), u'(t))dt \neq 0 \quad if \ \ u'_m \geq M_2,$$

$$\int_0^T f(t, u(t), u'(t))dt \neq 0 \quad if \ \ u'_M \leq M_1.$$

If $b = 1$ and $(\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times C^1$ is such that $u = M(\lambda, u)$, then

$$\left\|u'\right\|_\infty < r,$$

where

$$r = \max\left\{\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(L + 2\left\|c^-\right\|_{L^1}\right)\right|, \left|\varphi^{-1}\left(-L - 2\left\|c^-\right\|_{L^1}\right)\right|\right\}, L = \max\left\{\left|\varphi(M_2)\right|, \ \left|\varphi(M_1)\right|\right\}.$$

Proof. Use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and the following inequality $|f(t, u(t), u'(t))| \leq f(t, u(t), u'(t)) + 2c^-(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. □

Now we can prove an existence theorem for (1.1).

Theorem 4.5. *Let f be continuous and satisfy conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.4. Assume that the following conditions hold for some $\rho \geq r(2 + T)$.*

1. The equation

$$G(x, y) = (0, 0),$$

has no solution on $\partial B_\rho(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^2$, where we consider the natural identification $(x, y) \approx x + yt$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with related functions in C^1.

2. *The Brouwer degree*

$$deg_B(G, B_\rho(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^2, 0) \neq 0,$$

Then problem (1.1) with $b = 1$ has a solution.

Proof. If $b = 1$ and $(\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times C^1$ is such that $u = Z(\lambda, u)$, by evaluation of u at 0, we have that

$$\int_0^T f(t, u(t), u'(t))dt = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, u is a function of the form $u(t) = x + yt,\ y = x$. Thus, By (4.10)

$$\int_0^T f(t, x + yt, y)dt = 0,$$

which, together with hypothesis 1, implies that $u = x + tx \notin \partial B_\rho(0)$.

Let $b = 1$ and $(\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times C^1$ be such that $u = M(\lambda, u)$. Using Lemma 4.4, we have that $\|u\|_1 < r(2 + T)$. Thus we have proved that (3.4) has no solution in $\partial B_\rho(0)$ for $b = 1$ and $(\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times C^1$, hence the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, the proof is complete. □

Our next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4.3. We need first of the following applications. The *differential operator*

$$D : \mathrm{dom}(D) \to C, \quad u \mapsto u',$$

onde $\mathrm{dom}(D) = \left\{u \in C_b^1 : \varphi(u') \in C^1\right\}$, $C_b^1 = \left\{u \in C^1 : u'(T) = bu'(0), \quad u'(0) = u(0)\right\}$.

The operator

$$D_\varphi : \mathrm{dom}(D_\varphi) \to C, \quad u \mapsto (\varphi(u))',$$

onde $\mathrm{dom}(D_\varphi) = \left\{u \in C : \varphi(u) \in C^1\right\}$.

The operator

$$\widetilde{D}_\varphi = D_\varphi D : \mathrm{dom}(D) \to C, \quad u \mapsto (\varphi(u'))'.$$

When $b < 0$, $-\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\varphi(b\ \cdot)$ are simultaneously increasing or decreasing. In this case, $B_{\varphi,b}(\cdot) = \varphi(b\ \cdot) - \varphi(\cdot)$ is injective. Thus, the operator $\widetilde{D}_\varphi$ has an inverse given by

$$u \mapsto H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(B_{\varphi,b}^{-1}\left(\int_0^T u(s)ds\right)\right) + \int_0^t u(s)ds\right]\right) + B_{\varphi,b}^{-1}\left(\int_0^T u(s)ds\right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varphi(u'))' = N_f(u),\ u'(T) = bu'(0),\ u'(T) = bu'(0)\\ &\Leftrightarrow (D_\varphi D)(u) = N_f(u),\ u \in \mathrm{dom}(D)\\ &\Leftrightarrow u = (D_\varphi D)^{-1} N_f(u),\ u \in C^1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence our problem is finding a fixed point of the operator

$$\Gamma := (D_\varphi D)^{-1} N_f : C^1 \to \mathrm{dom}(D).$$

$$u \mapsto$$

$$H\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\varphi\left(B_{\varphi,b}^{-1}\left(\int_0^T N_f(u)(s)ds\right)\right) + \int_0^t N_f(u)(s)ds\right]\right) + B_{\varphi,b}^{-1}\left(\int_0^T N_f(u)(s)ds\right).$$

In the next theorem, we adapt the ideas of Bouches and Mawhin [7] to obtain the existence of at least one solution of (1.1).

Theorem 4.6. *If there exists a function $h \in C$ such that*

$$|f(t,x,y)| \le h(t)$$

for all $(t,x,y) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$, then problem (1.1) with $b < 0$ has a solution.

Proof. Let us consider $v = \Gamma(u) := (D_\varphi D)^{-1} N_f(u)$. Then,

$$v'(T) = bv'(0),\ v'(0) = v(0)$$

and

$$N_f(u) = (D_\varphi D)(v) = (\varphi(v'))'.$$

Because $v \in C^1$ is such that $v'(T) = bv'(0)$, there exists $\tau \in [0,T]$ such that $v'(\tau) = 0$, which implies $\varphi(v'(\tau)) = 0$ and

$$|\varphi(v'(t))| = \left|\int_\tau^t (\varphi(v'(s)))' ds\right| \le \int_\tau^t |N_f(u)(s)|\, ds \le \int_0^T |f(s,u(s),u'(s))|\, ds \le \|h\|_{L^1} \quad (t \in [0,T]),$$

which implies

$$\|v'\|_\infty \le \beta,$$

where $\beta = \max\left\{\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(\|h\|_{L^1}\right)\right|,\ \left|\varphi^{-1}\left(-\|h\|_{L^1}\right)\right|\right\}$. Using the fact that $v'(0) = v(0)$, we deduce that

$$|v(t)| \le |v(0)| + \int_0^t |v'(s)|\, ds \le + |v(0)| + \int_0^T |v'(s)|\, ds \le \beta + \beta T$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$, and hence

$$\|v\|_1 = \|v\|_\infty + \|v'\|_\infty \le \beta + \beta T + \beta = \beta(2+T).$$

Because the Γ is completely continuous and bounded, we can use Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem to deduce the existence of at least one fixed point in $\overline{B_{\beta(2+T)}(0)}$. The proof is complete. □

Let us give now an application of Theorem 4.5.

Example 4.7. Let us consider the problem

$$\left((u')^3\right)' = \frac{e^{u'}}{2} - 1, \quad u(0) = u'(0) = u'(T), \tag{4.11}$$

for $M_1 = -1,\ M_2 = 1,\ \rho \geq (1+2T)^{1/3}(2+T)$ and $c(t) = -1$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. So, problem (4.11) has at least one solution.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by CAPES and CNPq/Brazil.

References

[1] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems for some nonlinear equations with singular φ-laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 352 (2009), 218-233.

[2] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Boundary value problems for some nonlinear systems with singular φ-laplacian*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 4 (2008), 57-75.

[3] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions of nonlinear perturbations of φ-laplacians with possibly bounded φ*, Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), 1668-1681.

[4] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Existence and multiplicity results for some nonlinear problems with singular φ-laplacian*, J. Differential Equations. 243 (2007), 536-557.

[5] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Boundary-value problems with non-surjective φ-laplacian and one-sided bounded nonlinearity*, Advances Differential Equations. 11 (2006), 35-60.

[6] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems with φ-laplacian operators*, An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta. 12 (2004), 73-92.

[7] V. Bouches and J. Mawhin, *Boundary value problems for a class of first order quasilinear ordinary differential equations*, Portugal. Math. (N.S). Fasc. 3-4 (2014), 217-247.

[8] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[9] R. Manásevich and J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-laplacian-like operators*, Differential Equations. 145 (1997), 367-393.

[10] J. Mawhin, Leray-Schauder degree:*A half century of extensions and applications*, Topological Methods Nonlinear Anal. 14 (1999), 195-228.

[11] J. Mawhin, *Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, CBMS series No. 40, American Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1979.

[12] J.R. Ward Jr, *Asymptotic conditions for periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 415-420.